

Women in surgery: challenges and opportunities

Josephine de Costa, BA/LLB, José Chen-Xu, BSc, MD*, Zineb Bentounsi, MD, Dominique Vervoort, MD

Abstract

Women have been involved in surgery throughout history. However, despite the fact that there are now as many female medical students compared with male students in universities, men still significantly outnumber women in a number of procedural specialties, most notably surgery. This article discusses the factors that can influence women's choice of surgical career, and the challenges and discrimination that women can face as surgeons. There is a strong argument for substantive policy change at the individual, organizational, and governmental level to reduce gendered discrimination and gendered stereotypes in surgery. We call upon all organizations involved in surgery, and particularly in the emerging field of global surgery, to actively work toward equity.

Keywords: Global Surgery, Equity, Surgery, Women

Women have been involved in surgery throughout history. The first record of a woman associated with formal surgical practice is Queen Shubad of Ur^[1], who over 5000 years ago demonstrated a remarkable commitment to her patients by being buried with surgical instruments, so that she might continue surgical practice in the afterlife^[2]. In the last few centuries, there are numerous reports of women dressing up as men to practise surgery, with the most famous being Dr James Barry, a 19th century trauma surgeon who concealed her sex throughout the duration of her practice and was only found to be female after her until his death in 1865^[3].

However, up to the 1970s, women comprised only a small percentage of medical students, making up to 6% in the United States^[1]. In the last few decades, this figure has improved significantly, with the number of US and UK female medical students now surpassing that of males^[4,5]. Unfortunately, this change is yet to be reflected within the surgical specialty. While the number of women in surgery is increasing steadily, still less than one third of surgeons globally are female^[5], and women interested in surgery face discrimination within medical school, in training programmes and in consultancy positions^[6].

There are many factors that impact a junior doctor's choice of specialty, including an attraction to the work itself, the perceived

lifestyle of doctors in that specialty, and the existence of a mentor or role model in that field^[3]. Conversely, in surgery, many of these issues have been identified as pushing women away from engaging in surgical training^[1]. These include a lack of female surgeon role models^[1,7-9], and perceptions that the surgical lifestyle is not compatible with the disproportionate burden that women bear of care-giving responsibilities.

As of 2016, there were only 20 female Chairs of Departments of Surgery in the United States^[10]. Across the United States, women accounted for 8% of Professors, 13% of Associate Professors, and 26% of Assistant Professors of Surgery. Overall, only 19.2% of American surgeons are women^[4]. In the United Kingdom, statistics from the Royal College of Surgeons in 2016 show an even lower percentage of female surgeons (11.1%)^[10], but there are indications that this number has since increased^[5]. However, female surgical consultants in the United Kingdom are represented differently in the different surgical specialties, accounting for ~25% of pediatric surgeons but <20% in all other surgical fields^[9]. Overall, this data shows a clear underrepresentation of women as surgeons, Full Professors and Chairs of Departments in both the United States and the United Kingdom^[6].

Unlike men, women are also likely to be deterred by the "old boys' club" reputation of many surgical specialties and departments^[4]. These perceptions may not only be coming from the women themselves, with many women discouraged by their peers, partners, and family from pursuing a career that is so commonly perceived as impossible to balance with the prospect of starting a family and having children^[1]. Furthermore, surgical training programs are themselves considered to be demanding and competitive (outside of the difficulties of balancing the training with nonmedical life). For women, who may lack the professional and familial support networks of men, and who struggle with gendered perceptions of what women can and cannot do, this presents yet another barrier in pursuing a surgical career^[5]. This is despite the fact that research shows that "female doctors perform equally as well as their male peers on measures of medical knowledge, communication skills, professionalism, technical skills, practiced-based learning and clinical judgment"^[11].

Interestingly, in the United States, where obstetrics and gynecology (O&G) is considered a surgical subspecialty, 56% of consultant

InciSioN—International Student Surgical Network, Sint-Truiden, Belgium

This manuscript has been peer reviewed.

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

*Corresponding author. Address: Alameda Professor Hernâni Monteiro, Piso 01, Porto 4200-319, Portugal. Tel/fax: +351 917 862 758. E-mails address: josechenx@gmail.com; incision.students@gmail.com (J. Chen-Xu).

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

International Journal of Surgery: Global Health (2018) 1:e02

Received 6 May 2018; Accepted 2 June 2018

Published online 7 August 2018

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/GH9.000000000000002>

specialist obstetrician/gynecologists in 2016 were women^[12]. These numbers are similar to those in Australia, where 45% of fully qualified O&G consultants are women, but 82% of trainees (ie, those still in training to become obstetricians/gynecologists) are women. There are many factors likely to be responsible for this difference in gender representation between O&G and the other surgical subspecialties, not least that as a specialty dedicated to women's health, O&G is commonly perceived as being less of an "old boys club" and more flexible on issues such as parental leave and part-time training for their trainees^[13].

Most of the reasons described above as factors pushing women away from surgery stem from broader social perceptions about women's abilities and gendered stereotypes around what are and are not appropriate career and life choices for women to make. In particular, motherhood is seen by many as an obstacle, if not a barrier, to surgical training. Most women in surgical training are in the most intensive years of their training at the precise age where many women are considering having children (ie, 28–35). However, it is at precisely this point when the idea of taking time off to have children is considered least favorable—those who do so are seen as less committed to their training, at the risk of de-skilling, less likely to receive a good training position when they return to the workforce, and overall likely to have fewer opportunities for career development^[9,14]. Furthermore, ideas about the required personality of a surgeon, and even stereotypes about the level of physical strength required to be, for example, an orthopedic surgeon, are also often cited as factors discouraging women from surgery^[5,9].

Even when female doctors pursue and are accepted into surgical training, in many surgical subspecialties there are higher rates of attrition (ie, withdrawal or exclusion) from the training program for women trainees, with similar issues as those listed above—a lack of flexibility, and adequate role models—being cited as the main reasons for women to drop out of programs at this point^[15]. As a result, women are subject to a hidden higher standard to enter and thrive in the surgical field^[16].

This inequality is also reflected in the existing gender pay gap in surgery which, as in all other aspects of society, is significant. Studies suggest that women earn 27% less than their male counterparts, and are also less likely to be promoted^[17]. Australian data shows that the gender pay gap in medical specialties is on average 33.6%, and in some surgical specialties this may be as high as 60%^[18,19]. In the United States, the referral system by fellow doctors prompts surgeons to more working opportunities and success. Within this system, women are also more severely punished than men—when a patient dies in the hands of a female surgeon, referrals to them from other doctors drop around 54%, while there is only a small drop in equivalent referrals for male surgeons after a death event^[16,20]. This translates as a perception of incompetence and distrust of the female surgeon's skills^[20].

As a result, those that do enter the surgical field would be expected to perform at higher levels than their male counterparts due to the increased number of hurdles and seemingly hidden unequal standards. However, it was recently shown that female surgeons did, in fact, perform equally good or even slightly better on early postoperative outcomes, with reduced 30-day mortality, length of stay, complications, and readmissions as opposed to male surgeons^[16,18].

Looking forward

Despite the above, numbers of women in surgery are slowly increasing around the globe. Movements such as the #ILookLikeASurgeon Twitter hashtag, "New Yorker Cover Challenge," and the Women in Surgery association in the United States have played a significant role in highlighting the need for substantive policy change to reduce gender discrimination and gender stereotypes in surgery. In this context, it is imperative that all organizations working in surgery, including in global surgery, recognize the additional difficulties that women surgeons face and work actively to guarantee equal rights to everyone. In addition to such recognition, however, professional surgical organizations need to implement clear evidence-based policies to reduce gendered discrimination and harassment in surgery and minimize harmful stereotypes about who can, and cannot, be a surgeon.

In Australia, the Royal Australian College of Surgeons has invested significant resources into investigating the issues of sexual harassment and discrimination rife within the surgical profession, after significant media attention in 2015. This began with a major inquiry into the level of sexual harassment and bullying within Australian surgery—which found that almost half of surgical trainees had experienced bullying, discrimination or sexual harassment^[19]—and has resulted in a multi-year plan incorporating major policy changes including, among other things, increasing the functionality of complaints mechanisms, incorporating compulsory training for surgical trainees on discrimination, bullying, and sexual harassment and increasing teaching training for those surgeons involved in surgical education^[21,22].

O&G training programs, which are similarly time intensive and procedural skills based, may provide a source of inspiration for those looking to improve surgical training pathways for women. For example, the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG) has in the past decades implemented changes including: allowing up to 2 years of parental leave during training; allowing for part-time training after the first year of the program; and also counting completed training in terms of weeks rather than term rotations (meaning that if you attend 3 of your 8 wk then have to take time off, those first 3 wk will still count)^[23]. Each of these policies could arguably be introduced in surgical training pathways throughout the world.

What can we do?

Removing all of the barriers to women in surgery will require fundamental and long-lasting social changes in how people perceive the role of women within society, and increased understanding of the fact that a person's career opportunities should not be limited by their gender, race, or sexual orientation.

As with all social issues, any change will need to start at an individual level, with organizations and individuals setting an example to show that gender discrimination has no place within surgery. Furthermore, there are numerous organizations already campaigning on the issue of women in medicine, and specifically women in surgery. Collaborative projects, especially around policy and advocacy, with these organizations will be key to effecting lasting change.

Where though does that leave doctors, researchers, and others interested in global surgery? Given the relative paucity of information available about women in surgery worldwide (although granted this has increased admirably over recent years) it is hardly surprising that little research is available regarding the presence and experience of women surgeons in the emerging field of global surgery. However, the same principles undoubtedly apply, and

given global surgery's relative youth as a surgical field now is the most opportune time to ensure that it is a field which combats gender disparity within its ranks at every level—individual, organizational, and governmental.

The participation of medical students is relevant in this area, as they are the future of global surgery. They can have an active role in advocating for better policies on gender discrimination to be implemented at the University level, as well as with larger professional health care institutions. In addition to the policies mentioned above, they can also support these institutions to develop explicit declarations or commitments against gender discrimination within health-care. In this way, we can make surgery a better profession: not just for women, but for everyone seeking to provide health care in an environment free from discrimination.

Ethical approval

No ethical approval was necessary in this education article.

Sources of funding

This article received no support from any organization.

Author contribution

J.d.C. and J.C.-X. are co-first authors. J.C.-X. conceived the article and was responsible for the coordination of the process. J.C.-X., J.d.C., Z.B., and D.V. wrote the first draft. All authors provided significant revisions for important intellectual content and approved the final version. All authors affirm that the manuscript is honest and transparent; with no important aspects omitted.

Conflict of interest disclosures

The authors declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with regard to the content of this report.

Research registration unique identifying number (UIN)

None.

Guarantor

José Chen-Xu, BSc, MD.

References

- [1] Wirtzfeld DA. The history of women in surgery. *Can J Surg* 2009; 52:317–20.
- [2] Grimsby GM, Wolter CE. The journey of women in urology: the perspective of a female urology resident. *Urology* 2013;81:3–6.
- [3] University of Edinburgh—College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine. 2018. Available at: www.ed.ac.uk/medicine-vet-medicine/about/history/women/james-barry. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [4] Wolfe L. Statistics on the number of women surgeons in the United States. The balance. 2017. Available at: www.thebalance.com/number-of-women-surgeons-in-the-us-3972900. Accessed April 25, 2018.
- [5] Johnston J. Why ARE there so few female surgeons? Doctors reveal how the challenges of motherhood and the profession's "Alpha male" image

- force women to abandon their dream, Daily Mail UK, July 2017. Available at: www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-4712060/Why-female-surgeons.html#ixzz59AEnYvSp. Accessed April 25, 2018.
- [6] Epstein NE. Discrimination against female surgeons is still alive: Where are the full professorships and chairs of departments? *Surg Neurol Int* 2017;8:93. Doi:10.4103/sni.sni_90_17.
- [7] Sutton PA, Mason J, Vimalachandran D, et al. Attitudes, motivators, and barriers to a career in surgery: a national study of UK undergraduate medical students. *J Surg Educ* 2014;71:662–7.
- [8] Association of Women Surgeons. Female Chairs of Departments of Surgery. 2018. Available at: www.womensurgeons.org/page/FemaleSurgeryChairs. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [9] Women in Surgery—Royal College of Surgeons. 2018. Available at: www.rcseng.ac.uk/careers-in-surgery/women-in-surgery/mission-and-goals/women-surgeon-statistics. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [10] Gargiulo DA, Hyman NH, Hebert JC. Women in surgery: do we really understand the deterrents? *Arch Surg* 2006;141:405–7; discussion 7–8.
- [11] Seebacher N. Gender equity in medical specialties: Level Medicine Inc. Summer Research Fellowship Report, 2016. Available at: <http://levelmedicine.org.au/resources/completed-fellowship-papers/gender-equity-in-medical-specialties/>. Accessed April 25, 2018. Referring to Thomas W. Teaching and assessing surgical competence. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2006;88:429–32 and Pico K, et al. Do men outperform women during orthopaedic residency training? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010;468:1804–8.
- [12] Peckman C. Medscape female physician compensation report 2017. Available at: www.medscape.com/slideshow/compensation-2017-female-physician-6008690#3. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [13] Australian Medical Council Limited. Accreditation report: The Education and Training Programs of the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Specialist Education Accreditation Committee. 2013. Available at: www.amc.org.au/files/d4880ee11309de1c2a9bd5b4adacce43078a68ee4_original.pdf. Accessed April 25, 2018.
- [14] Hoyt DB. Looking forward. Women surgeons: challenges; role models; leadership. *Bull Am Coll Surg*. 2015;100:7–9.
- [15] Mitchell R. The case of the missing trainees. Presentation to the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons Annual Scientific Conference, May 5–9, 2014, Singapore. Available at: <http://cirrus.capstan.net.au/racs/asc/2015/pres/24312/player.html>. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [16] Wallis CJ, Ravi B, Coburn N, et al. Comparison of postoperative outcomes among patients treated by male and female surgeons: a population based matched cohort study. *BMJ* 2017;359:j4366. Doi: 10.1136/bmj.j4366.
- [17] Belluz J. Women surgeons are punished more than men for the exact same mistakes, study finds. *Vox*, November 2017. Available at: www.vox.com/science-and-health/2017/11/23/16686532/surgeon-mistakes-gender-wage-gap. Accessed April 25, 2018.
- [18] Tsugawa Y, Jena AB, Orav EJ, et al. Age and sex of surgeons and mortality of older surgical patients: observational study. *BMJ* 2018;361:k1343.
- [19] Fitzgerald G. Gender pay gap in Medicine: Level Medicine Inc. Summer Research Fellowship Report. 2016. Available at: <http://levelmedicine.org.au/resources/completed-fellowship-papers/gender-pay-gap/>. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [20] The Economist. Doctors have a rosier view of male than of female surgeons. *The Economist*. 2017. Available at: www.economist.com/news/science-and-technology/21731719-so-female-surgeons-get-fewer-opportunities-build-their-skills-doctors-have. Accessed April 25, 2018.
- [21] Expert Advisory Group on discrimination, bullying and sexual harassment advising the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons: report to RACS September 8, 2015. Available at: www.surgeons.org/media/22045685/EAG-Report-to-RACS-Draft-08-Sept-2015.pdf. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [22] Royal Australasian College of Surgeons. Building respect, improving patient safety: RACS action plan on discrimination, bullying, and sexual harassment in the practice of surgery. 2015. Available at: www.surgeons.org/media/22260415/RACS-Action-Plan_Bullying-Harassment_F-Low-Res_FINAL.pdf. Accessed May 1, 2018.
- [23] Ruth Mitchell. Ruth Mitchell's presentation on attrition in surgery: the case of the missing trainees. Set 4 Neurosurgery Registrar. Royal Melbourne Hospital. 2015. Available at: <http://cirrus.capstan.net.au/racs/asc/2015/pres/24312/player.html>. Accessed May 1, 2018.